



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,370	06/30/2003	J. Helen Fitton	2263-1-3	3158	
996	7590 08/18/2005	EXAMINER			
GRAYBEA	L, JACKSON, HALEY	MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH			
155 - 108TH AVENUE NE SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BELLEVUE, WA 98004-5901			1655	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/611,370	FITTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	S. B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 June 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-18</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>15,16 and 21</u> is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r <b>.</b>					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Application/Control Number: 10/611,370

Art Unit: 1655

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendments of May 23 and June 6, 2005 are hereby acknowledged.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claims Pending

Applicant has cancelled claims 1-14 and 19-20. Claims 15-18 and 21 are pending.

# Claim Objections

Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "galactofucan" is spelled two different ways in line 3. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 16 and 21 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims depend on an informal claim. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are withdrawn in view of Applicant's response.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 17-18 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funahashi *et al.* (JP 2002-20403).

The teaching of Funahashi *et al.* is relied upon for the reasons discussed in the previous office action. The reference teaches extracting galactose containing polysaccharides from the leaves (sporophylls) of wakame (common name for *Undaria pinnatifida*). The reference teaches that the polysaccharide has anti-viral properties. The reference does not teach using the sporophyll material itself in combination with additional polysaccharide. However, a person of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect that the crude sporophyll material would also contain anti-viral properties conveyed by the polysaccharide. Therefore, the artisan of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/611,370

Art Unit: 1655

skill would also reasonably expect that combining the crude sporophyll material with the extracted polysaccharide would produce a product with superior anti-viral properties in comparison with each product alone. Based on this reasonable expectation of success, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the extracted polysaccharide with sporophyll material.

**Applicant argues** the citation does not teach extraction of galactofucan sulfates from leaves of Wakame. This is not found persuasive. Applicant has disclosed in the specification (page 5, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph) that galactofucan sulfates "may be sourced from the whole plant or any part of the plant, such as the leaves, stems, spores or a combination thereof".

This reference does not specifically teach adding the ingredients together in the amounts claimed by Applicant. The amount of a specific ingredient in a composition is clearly a result-effective parameter that a person of ordinary skill in the art would routinely optimize. This is recognized in the reference in paragraph 21 ([0021]). Optimization of parameters is a routine practice that would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill to employ. It would have been customary for an artisan to determine the optimal amount of each ingredient to add in order to best achieve the desired results. Thus, absent some demonstration for unexpected results from the claimed parameters, this optimization of ingredient amount would have been obvious at the time of the Applicant's invention.

#### Summary

Claims 15-16 and 21 are free of the art because the prior art does not teach high molecular weight (i.e. 500,000 to 1,00,000 Daltons) galactafulcan sulfates.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1655

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# **Correspondence**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

SUSAN COE PRIMARY EXAMINER